

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge Mary K. Dimke
Richland

**USA v. EDUARDO REYES-
SANTANA**

Case No. 4:21-CR-06022-SAB-2

Richland Video Conference
The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

**Defendant's Motion to Revoke of Detention Order
Hearing (ECF No. 79):**

08/05/2021

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sara Gore, Courtroom Deputy [R]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Caitlin Baunsgard, US Atty (video)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services (tele)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alister Dabreo Jr., Defense Atty (tele)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM appearing by video from Sunnyside County Jail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED
	<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear
<hr/>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Conditions of Release imposed

REMARKS

Due to the current COVID-19 public health crisis, all parties including Defendant, appeared by video or teleconference.

Defense counsel argued why there are conditions which justify reconsidering the issues of detention and that such conditions will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required. Defense counsel argues Defendant's firearms are all legally owned. Defense counsel argues he is not a flight risk and has family ties to the community. Defendant's family is willing to pay up to \$5,000 bond for his release along with new proposed release addresses.

USA argued that there are no conditions which justify reconsidering the issues of detention or that there are no conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required and/or the safety of the community. USA request a Nebbia hearing if the Court allows the Bond.

Colloquy between Court and USA regarding details of what was located at the Defendant's house, storage unit, and his brother's house.

Defense counsel clarifies the relationship between the Defendant and his father.

The Court ordered:

1. Defendant's Motion to Reopen Detention is **denied**.
2. Defendant's Motion to Expedite Hearing is **granted**.
3. The Court ruled that it will abide by its earlier determination.
4. The Court ruled that there are no conditions which justify reconsidering the issue of bail or that there are conditions of release which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required.
5. Defendant shall be detained by the U.S. Marshal until further order of the Court.